



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/884,196	06/19/2001	Richard S. Blumberg	G0694/7002 (JRV)	5225
23628	7590 04/07/2004		EXAM	INER
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PC			VANDERVEGT, FRANCOIS P	
FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02210-2211			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/884,196	BLUMBERG, RICHARD S.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	F. Pierre VanderVegt	1644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 D 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 10,13,14,16-18,40,43,44 and 46-48 is 7) ☒ Claim(s) 11,12,15,41,42,45 and 57-62 is/are of 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/of Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	wn from consideration. s/are rejected. bjected to. or election requirement. er. cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/884,196

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

This application is a divisional of U.S. Application Serial Number 09/293,504, which claims the benefit of the filing date of provisional application 60/081,895.

Claims 1-9, 19-39 and 49-56 have been canceled previously.

Claims 57-62 were previously added.

Claims 10-18, 40-48 and 57-62 are currently pending.

1. In view of Applicant's remarks and the declaration under 37 CFR § 1.132 of inventor Richard Blumberg filed December 29, 2003, no outstanding grounds of rejection are maintained.

The following new ground of rejection necessitates that this Office Action be made NON-FINAL.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-18, 40-48 and 57-62 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 10, 13, 14, 16-18, 40, 43, 44 and 46-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for specific suppression of isolated killer T cells using an antibody that increases cross-linking of biliary glycoprotein (CEACAM1) or a ligand comprising a biliary glycoprotein or fragment thereof, does not reasonably provide enablement for specific suppression of killer T cells using other agents or compounds. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The claimed invention is most broadly drawn to specific suppression of killer T cells under any conditions (claims 40-48 and 60-62) or in a subject (claims 10-18 and 57-59) by contacting the killer T cells with an agent that increases the cross-linking of biliary glycoprotein (CD66a). The instant specification demonstrates the suppression of killer T cell activity in propagated killer T cell lines or in isolated killer cells that have been enriched post-isolation via in vitro stimulation using CEACAM1

Application/Control Number: 09/884,196

Art Unit: 1644

specific monoclonal antibodies. The declaration under 37 CFR § 1.132 of inventor Richard Blumberg filed December 29, 2003 provides histological evidence that T cells could be suppressed in vivo using anti-CEACAM1 antibodies or with CEACAM1-based fusion proteins. However, while it is acknowledged that Applicant need not provide working examples for every embodiment of the claimed invention, the specification does not provide a reasonable expectation of success on the part of one skilled in the art to practice the claimed invention using agents other than the CEACAM1-specific antibodies or CEACAM1-based agents. The specification does not disclose other ligands or agents capable of cross-linking CEACAM1 and suppressing killer T cell function. Given the nature of the claimed invention, which is therapeutic intervention in a disease state, without additional guidance from the instant specification, the artisan would not be able to practice the claimed invention using agents beyond CEACAM1-specific antibodies or CEACAM1-based agents. It would require an undue amount of trial and error on the part of the practitioner to identify and select agents from the genus of agents as broadly as claimed. Therefore, it is not clear that the skilled artisan could predict the efficacy of agent s not exemplified in the specification for specific suppression of killer T cells as encompassed by the claims.

In view of the breadth of the claims, the quantity of experimentation necessary, the limited working examples, the unpredictability of the art, and the lack of sufficient guidance in the specification, it would take undue trials and errors to practice the claimed invention and this is not sanctioned by the statute.

Conclusion

- 3. Claims 11, 12, 15, 41, 42, 45and 57-62 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. Pierre VanderVegt whose telephone number is (571) 272-0852. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-4:00; Alternate Fridays 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (571) 272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

F. Pierre VanderVegt, Ph.D. Pv
Patent Examiner

April 2, 2004

PATRICK J. NOLAN, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINED

PHIMARY EXAMIN